

Investigating proton energy deposition on the microscopic scale using fluorescence nuclear track detectors

<u>Tracy Underwood^{1,2}</u>, Wonmo Sung¹, Conor McFadden³, Stephen McMahon⁴, Harald Paganetti¹, Gabriel Sawakuchi³, Jan Schuemann¹

1. Department of Radiation Oncology, Massacusetts General Hospital and Harvard Medical School, Boston MA, USA

- 2. Department of Medical Physics and Biomedical Engineering, University College London, UK
- 3. Department of Radiation Physics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center,
- 4. Department of Medical Physics, Queens University Belfast, Belfast, UK

Motivation



Image from TOPAS-nBio, Jan Schuemann & Aimee McNamara

Motivation



Image from TOPAS-nBio, Jan Schuemann & Aimee McNamara

• Monte Carlo simulations offer an exceedingly powerful approach to the quantification of proton energy deposition on the microscopic scale, but whilst they have been well validated at the macroscopic level, their microscopic validation remains lacking

Motivation



Image from TOPAS-nBio, Jan Schuemann & Aimee McNamara

- Monte Carlo simulations offer an exceedingly powerful approach to the quantification of proton energy deposition on the microscopic scale, but whilst they have been well validated at the macroscopic level, their microscopic validation remains lacking
- We explored the potential of Fluorescent Nuclear Track Detectors (FNTDs) as a tool to validate microscopic Monte Carlo simulations of proton energy deposition



FNTDs are available commercially from Landauer Inc.



FNTDs are available commercially from Landauer Inc.



FNTDs are available commercially from Landauer Inc.



FNTDs are available commercially from Landauer Inc.



FNTDs are available commercially from Landauer Inc.

• We irradiated 7 FNTDs using unmodulated passively scattered protons

- We irradiated 7 FNTDs using unmodulated passively scattered protons
- The dose was \approx 0.07 Gy (3 MU)

- We irradiated 7 FNTDs using unmodulated passively scattered protons
- The dose was \approx 0.07 Gy (3 MU)
- The beam range in water was 12 cm (energy 125 MeV)

- We irradiated 7 FNTDs using unmodulated passively scattered protons
- The dose was \approx 0.07 Gy (3 MU)
- The beam range in water was 12 cm (energy 125 MeV)
- A custom holder was used to position FNTDs at seven depths (12, 30, 108, 112, 116, 120 and 128 mm)



Microscopy

 FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = 2 μ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of ${\approx}23$ mins per Z-stack

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of ${\approx}23$ mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of \approx 23 mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of ${\approx}23$ mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

• Python Trackpy (v 0.3.0)

Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of \approx 23 mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

- Python Trackpy (v 0.3.0)
- Locates local maxima; filters very dim maxima away; iteratively refines the remainder to subpixel accuracy

Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of \approx 23 mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

- Python Trackpy (v 0.3.0)
- Locates local maxima; filters very dim maxima away; iteratively refines the remainder to subpixel accuracy



Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of ${\approx}23$ mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

- Python Trackpy (v 0.3.0)
- Locates local maxima; filters very dim maxima away; iteratively refines the remainder to subpixel accuracy

MC simulations, implemented in two stages:

Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = $2 \,\mu$ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of \approx 23 mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

- Python Trackpy (v 0.3.0)
- Locates local maxima; filters very dim maxima away; iteratively refines the remainder to subpixel accuracy

MC simulations, implemented in two stages:

1. using TOPAS to model the particle spectrum

Microscopy

- FV1200 Confocal microscope (Olympus) with a custom high-power red laser (641 nm, 80 mW) and an oil-immersion objective lens
- Single set of image parameters for all FNTDs
- Ten slice Z-stacks, with slice thickness = 2 μ m, starting at a depth of 10 μ m from the FNTD surface
- 6-10 Z-stacks per FNTD
- A scan time of \approx 23 mins per Z-stack
- Diffraction limit = 218 nm

Track analysis

- Python Trackpy (v 0.3.0)
- Locates local maxima; filters very dim maxima away; iteratively refines the remainder to subpixel accuracy

MC simulations, implemented in two stages:

- 1. using TOPAS to model the particle spectrum
- using TOPAS-nBio with Geant4-DNA physics to score the track structure of particles through a water surrogate of Al2O3:C,Mg. The mass-density of the water was scaled to match the Aluminium Oxide water-equivalent path length for each FNTD position.





108 mm



120 mm



128 mm























Results: simulated LET versus FNTD median track mass



Results: simulated LET versus FNTD median track mass



TOPAS-nBio simulations



TOPAS-nBio simulations



FNTDs



TOPAS-nBio simulations



3.53.0fit centre 2.52.0FNTDs: 1.51.00.5 $r^2 = 0.99$ 0 0.52.53.03.50.0 1.0.5 2.0TOPAS-nBio: fit centre

Fit sigmas

FNTDs



TOPAS-nBio simulations





FNTDs







Conclusion

• FNTDs enable metrology of individual proton tracks in a manner that is relatively robust

- FNTDs enable metrology of individual proton tracks in a manner that is relatively robust
- If a strong reference data-set was acquired, they could be used to confirm LET in proton biological experiments

- FNTDs enable metrology of individual proton tracks in a manner that is relatively robust
- If a strong reference data-set was acquired, they could be used to confirm LET in proton biological experiments
- Our FNTD data experimentally replicates trends demonstrated in microscopic simulations of energy depositions of individual particles: we obtained statistically significant correlations between experimental and simulated values for "track mass" and the Gaussian sigma of its associated distribution

Thank you for your attention!



Thanks to Mark Akselrod, Doug Trenholm, Ben Rowland, Joost Verburg, Hsiao-Ming Lu, David Hall & Aimee McNamara. This work was performed under NIH/R01-CA187003-01A1. TU acknowledges the support of the European Commission under an FP7 Marie Curie International Outgoing Fellowship for Career Development.

Oueen's University